

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

203 B.T. Road, Kolkata – 700 108

No. CAF/25-26/10-2/339
22nd December, 2025

Dear Sir / Madam,

I am forwarding herewith a copy of the proceedings of the Annual General meeting of the Institute held on 18th November 2025, as approved by President of the Institute, for your information and record.

Yours sincerely,


(Sanghamitra Bandyopadhyay)
Officiating Director

To : All members of the Indian Statistical Institute.

Copy for information to:

1. The President of the Institute.
2. The Chairman of the Council of the Institute.
3. Head, Delhi Centre.
4. Head, Bangalore Centre.
5. Head, Chennai Centre.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

Minutes of the Annual General Meeting of the Institute held on Tuesday, 18th November 2025 at 3.30 p.m. in the Auditorium of Platinum Jubilee Academic Building, 1st Floor, of the Institute at 203, B.T. Road, Kolkata – 700 108.

Present:

1. Prof. Sankar Kumar Pal, President, ISI
2. Prof. Sanghamitra Bandyopadhyay, Officiating Director, ISI
3. 70 Members of the General Body of the Institute as per attached Annexure - I
4. Shri Ravinder Kumar, Chief Executive (A&F), ISI - Special Invitee
5. Shri Amitava Mukherjee, Dy. Chief Executive (Finance) 'B', ISI - Special Invitee

Detailed list of the attendees attached as Annexure – I.

Welcome Address by the President of the Institute

The President welcomed the Officiating Director and members of the General Body.

At the outset, the ISI Workers Organisation (ISIWO) read out a memorandum (which was critical of the draft ISI bill, 2025, and demanded its withdrawal) with the permission of the President, and submitted the same to be taken on record.

Agenda Item No. 1 **Condolence on the demise of respected members of the General Body of the Institute.**

- 1.1 The Institute deeply mourned the loss of its esteemed members of the General Body, whose service and integrity will be fondly remembered. The names of the members who have demised are as follows:

| Membership No. | Name |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| L/2088 | Prof. Satya Sankar De |
| L/5249 | Dr. Subrata Bhattacharyya |
| L/5370 | Dr. Pranab Kumar Tapaswai |
| FL/0556 | Mr. M. Masuyama |
| L/5747 | Mr. Sandip Dasgupta |
| L/5886 | Mr. T.R. Satish Chandran |

Agenda Item No. 2 **Confirmation of proceedings of the Annual General Meeting held on 30th October, 2024**

2

2.1 Members took note of the fact that the proceedings of the Annual General Meeting of the Institute held on 30th October, 2024 were circulated with reference No. CAF/25-26/10-2/017 dated 9th April, 2025.

2.2 The Members pointed out that the proceedings of Annual General Meetings should be circulated promptly so that discussions remain fresh in the minds of the Members and can be appropriately verified with the recorded proceedings. In response, the President instructed the CE (A&F) to prepare and circulate the proceedings of the current and all future AGMs within two weeks.

2.3(R) **It was resolved by the General Body that the proceedings of the Annual General Meeting of the Institute held on 30th October, 2024, as circulated vide reference No. CAF/25-26/10-2/017 dated 9th April, 2025 is confirmed.**

Agenda Item No. 3 **Matters arising out of the previous Annual General Meeting held on 30th October, 2024**

3.1 Agenda of the meeting incorporating action taken report of previous annual general meetings was handed to all the members.

3.2 Some of the members commented on a few items of the action taken report. Regarding Agenda No. 8.2 (R), it was informed by CE(A&F) to the General Body members that efforts have been made to collect latest address and contact details of the General Body members along with Govt. ID proof (Aadhaar Card/Voter Card/Passport/Driving License). It was also decided in the meeting that the ID Card provided to the life members of the General Body by the institute would also be considered as a valid ID proof in this regard.

It was noted by the General Body members that despite communications, address details of some of the members have not been received. The members opined that not getting latest address details should not result in deletion of name of that particular member from the list of General Body. The President stated that some alternative method should be contemplated in order to successfully get the address and other details of the General Body members. However, the General Body noted that most of the members are aged people and might not be habituated with email checking regularly.

3.3 It was also discussed in the day's meeting regarding Agenda No. 9.2 (R) that some of the applications for the membership of the General Body are pending for more than one year. The Officiating Director informed that a Committee is working on reviewing the process of evaluating the applications. She conveyed that the Chair had informed her that he would be submitting the report within about a month.

- 3.4** Rest of the Action Taken Reports are noted by the General Body Members.

Agenda Item No. 4 **To discuss the draft ISI Bill 2025.**

4.1

Prof. Manoranjan Pal commented that it was not clear why the new act was needed. It was badly drafted, does not mention what will be the status of Associate Institutes, and all the workers are scared about the possible outcomes of the new bill.

He then asked the President and the Officiating Director (Secretary of the Society) about what happened in the brainstorming meeting of July 05, 2025 at JNCASR, Bangalore.

President's response:

The President stated that Dr. Saurabh Garg, Secretary, MoSPI, Govt. of India, invited him at a very short notice to attend that meeting on the following agenda.

- Institutional autonomy and government reforms
- Expansion of the academic programs and interdisciplinary research
- Incorporation of the global best practices in pedagogy and administration
- Leveraging technology and data science for public good
- Exploring necessary amendments to the ISI Act to support the above

Other dignitaries (about 20 to 22) present included – Chairman, ISI Council (in the Chair); Chairman, National Statistical Commission; Vice Chairperson, NITI Aayog; Member, Economic Advisory Council to the Hon'ble Prime Minister; Principal Scientific Advisor to the Hon'ble Prime Minister.

To achieve the above, the critical issues/problems, as identified and explained by the Additional Secretary, MoSPI in her power point presentation, for addressing/solving the above problems are mainly based on the following points:

- Downsizing the ISI council
- Giving autonomy to the centers
- Replacing election by selection

- Reducing the disproportionate role played by the General Body members

As stated by the President, in the meeting (on July 05, 2025), he had mentioned that he has been working in ISI for more than 50 years in different capacities since 1975. He got the Bhatnagar Prize in engineering sciences in 1990 from ISI (working in the then Physical & Earth Sciences Division), although ISI was not known for engineering sciences. This has been possible for the academic freedom that he enjoyed at ISI and the support received. He considered ISI as one of the best places, among various institutes that he had worked in UK, Europe, USA, and Asia, for doing good research. He recalled that Prof. M. G. K. Menon (the then President of ISI) had once mentioned to the then Hon'ble Prime Minister (Sri A. B. Vajpayee) in 1999 in a ceremony at Vigyan Bhavan, New Delhi that ISI faculty were doing excellent work and deserved higher pay scales than UGC scales. The President also mentioned that ISI was closing in on its Centenary, and a fitting celebration of this should be allowed to be held smoothly. Any disruption caused at this stage because of the implementation of the said issues may result in unrest at ISI and it would be better to avoid them.

The President emphasized that his response during the July 05 meeting was as an individual, and not in the capacity of the President of ISI. To express his opinion as the President of the Society, as he was elected by its members, it would be necessary to seek the approval of the General Body, which would require a meeting with a notice period.

The President also mentioned that one of the senior members of the committee (who was also a part of the ISI 4th Review Committee) joined towards the end of the meeting. The meeting concluded with the remark that the situation in ISI required a major change ("surgery").

The President also emphasized that most of the invited members were critical of the Institute. The President then invited the Officiating Director to provide her feedback about the said meeting.

Officiating Director's response:

The Officiating Director stated that while she would not give any details of the meeting as this was not an open meeting, she would share her overall feedback. She mentioned that several members were appreciative of the Institute and its contributions, though

many also pointed out areas where changes were needed. She expressed that this was not unusual and suggestions for improvement of the institute may certainly be there. She continued that there was a long discussion on whether ISI should remain specialized or should diversify. Almost all the members emphasized the necessity for downsizing the council. Only one or two members present in the meeting were quite critical about the Institute.

Prof. Rajat K. De pressed the Officiating Director to explain what her personal stand was during the brainstorming meeting, and what her response was to the critical comment regarding "surgery"? The Officiating Director again said that she would not give any details of a closed-door meeting. But she emphasized that all the current employees of the Institute who were attending the meeting in their official capacities had opined that while the Institute faced several constraints, its contributions and reputation were still very high. They also gave their views on diversification of disciplines versus focusing on a few, and the issue of more autonomy to the centers, while still operating within a single Institute structure. Not being satisfied with the general response, Prof. De insisted that the Officiating Director's refusal to give a specific answer be recorded.

Prof. Rajat K. De further asked the President and the Officiating Director about when they came to know about the proposed ISI Bill 2025. The President responded that he came to know about it sometime in September just before Durga Puja holidays, when some faculty members pointed out its appearance in the ministry website to him. The Officiating Director also said that she came to know about the actual details of the bill when it was uploaded on the website.

Prof. De asked when and how the ISI Council came to know about the draft ISI Bill 2025. Prof. Shashi Mohan Srivastava, a member of the ISI Council, replied that Dr. Saurabh Garg, Secretary, MoSPI, gave a presentation on this with permission of the Chairman during a council meeting held in Delhi on 12 September, 2025, without any pre-scheduled agenda. It was sudden with no prior information to the members. Prof. Srivastava also said that no scope was given by the Chairman to the members to have a discussion on this. He further mentioned that it was not even recorded in the final Council Proceedings. The CE (A&F) countered that it was recorded in the ATR part of the proceedings. The

Officiating Director opined that CE (A&F) should show the relevant portion to Prof. Srivastava if he went to the Council section for this.

Dr. Arijit Chakrabarty asked the President and the Officiating Director about their personal views on the draft bill. The President responded by saying that as the President of ISI, the overall views of the house will be his views to convey. However, as an individual, he thought that changes like downsizing the council, and autonomy to centers can be accommodated within the existing framework of ISI 1959 Act, without repealing it.

The Officiating Director said that although she is the ex-officio Secretary of the General Body she has other responsibilities in the Institute. Therefore she will not give any opinion on this matter.

Dr. Krishanu Maulik insisted that the General Body wanted to know the personal views of the Secretary as well. However, she reiterated what she would give no opinion on this matter as she had other roles and responsibilities in the Institute.

In this connection, Dr. Sandip Mitra said that it was necessary to take the inputs of all the senior members of the General Body before taking any decision on this agenda. Dr. N. C. Ghatak expressed the view that ISI should approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court or the Hon'ble High Court. Dr. Santanu Datta said that in the present instance there is no justifiable reason for the government's interference as none of the clauses that can lead to government interference have been fulfilled. Besides, the government has already acknowledged that some of the recommendations of the 4th Review Committee have already been implemented. Others recommendations, if required, may be achieved through the amendment of the 1959 Act. Properties of the Institute are vested on the Society, but the newly proposed act demolishes the society. Dr. Datta also opined that ISI should take a resolution about Mr. Sanjeev Sanyal, who was listed in the brainstorming meeting as a dignitary, and who has made derogatory remarks about PCM. The President confirmed that Mr. Sanyal was indeed present in the 5th July meeting.

With the consent of the President, Dr. Datta then showed in the meeting a video reel of Mr. Sanjeev Sanyal, available in the YouTube, posted by [@NewIndiaJunction](#) making some unacceptable comments about the founder of Indian Statistical Institute. The members present disapproved and protested against the comments made by Mr. Sanyal. One member pointed out the necessity for checking whether the video was fake or not. The

General Body urged the Officiating Director to bring it to the notice of the Council. The Officiating Director suggested that it would be better if the President wrote to the Chairman of the Council. It was decided that a letter/mail would be sent by the President to the Officiating Director with a copy to the ISI Chairman giving the link of the video for discussion in the council meeting.

To another query, the Officiating Director said that the Professors-in-charge/Head, SQC&OR and a few other faculty members, total ten in all, had already met the Secretary, Additional Secretary and other officials of the MosPI in Delhi to express their views on the draft ISI Bill 2025. She was told that the Ministry officials were receptive of the suggestions and comments of the ISI members, and had listened to them carefully. She also informed that the Ministry expressed interest to meet a few non-faculty members also, and this was conveyed to the two representatives to the Council. However, they finally could not organize this visit. One member also inquired about the upcoming meeting of the Officiating Director and the Heads of the Centre with ministry officials on 20th November, 2025. Dr. Mahuya Datta commented that in such a meeting there would be nobody to speak, in particular, about the interests of ISI, Kolkata. The Officiating Director clarified that she would be representing all. Dr. Krishanu Maulik said that the Centre Heads (appointed through selection) were getting multiple opportunities to meet the ministry officials, but the Professors-in-Charge (appointed through election) had got only one. The President wondered whether that had anything to do with the issue of preferring 'selection' over 'election' as in the draft bill. Dr. Mahuya Datta and Dr. Krishanu Maulik insisted that the Officiating Director, as the Secretary of the Society, should present the concerns of the General Body of the Society to the Ministry in the meeting of 20th November, 2025. The Officiating Director agreed to this and requested Prof. Ayanendranath Basu and the CE(A&F) to provide her with a concise document outlining the concerns expressed.

Dr. Mahuya Datta wanted to know who gave the presentation in brainstorming meeting on 5th July, 2025. The Officiating Director said that the Additional Secretary gave the presentation.

Prof. Shashi Mohan Srivastava asked about who presided over the brainstorming meeting. Both the President and the Officiating Director said that the Chairman of the Council presided over the meeting. Prof. Srivastava further wanted to know what were the issues on which this meeting was called. The President responded that the agenda talked about some important issues in respect of

the Institute, as expressed by five items stated earlier in President's response. He also said that he received the agenda on the morning of the 5th of July, 2025 only. In this connection Mr. Jiban Pal asked about what evidence was there of the disproportionate role played by the General Body.

Dr. Krishanu Maulik brought to the attention of the house a report about the IIM Boards published in the Telegraph. It appears that for several IIMs the actual number of external members in the Board of Governance are far less than the stipulated number. Dr. Maulik expressed the apprehension that ISI may go down the same route if the bill under consideration is passed.

Prof. Rajkumar Roychowdhury mentioned that it was unfortunate that most of the members of the council that were planned to be cut down were internal members.

Dr. Santanu Datta mentioned that any change in the ISI structure has to pass through the General Body and the Society of Registrars. The Central Government cannot pass an act on its own bypassing them. Only the Society, through the concurrence of the General Body, can pass on the properties of the Institute.

Dr. Krishanu Maulik said that he had read the proposed new bill carefully, and it has serious errors which can lead to problems later. The bill tries to define what the Central Government is, and declares MoSPI, the ministry having administrative control over the institute, as the central government.

Prof. Rajat K. De mentioned that the draft bill starts with ensuring more autonomy, but as we go on reading it, it is clear that it in effect reduces the autonomy. He opined that autonomy is sustainable only in a democratic framework which is grounded on electoral process.

Prof. Ayanendranath Basu commented that the government already has almost unlimited power under the existing act, and they had made use of that power in the past. So why was a new act necessary to impose their will? The President responded by saying that the brainstorming meeting, although he was invited at a very short notice, appeared to him as 'pre-planned'. He came to know about the agenda in the morning of 5th July 2025. The Officiating Director gave the view that the 'pre-planned' description was not appropriate, as she too came to know about the meeting only when she was invited.

Prof. Ayanendranath Basu further asked why it was necessary to restructure the Academic Council of the Institute, when, in particular, the proposed bill will lead to a structure which is unlike any of the existing prominent Institutes? The President responded by saying that he could not answer such queries as he was not involved in the drafting of the bill. The Officiating Director also did not respond to the query.

Dr. Krishanu Maulik stated that any future proposal for changing or amending the act should be first discussed in the General Body before proceeding.

Dr. D. P. Mandal mentioned that both in the 3rd and 4th Review Committee Reports, the downsizing of the Council had been suggested. However, they suggested different routes for this downsizing. The 3rd Review Committee considered the reduction in size by reducing the number of external members, while the 4th Review Committee attempted to do the same by doing away with the internal members.

Dr. Rajat K. De asked the Officiating Director that if she were in favour of the draft bill, then why was she not trying to convince the stakeholders to support the bill? If she were not in favour of the bill, why was she not trying to convince the ministry that it was not a good idea? The Officiating Director re-iterated her earlier stand that as she had other responsibilities in the Institute, she would not express any opinion on the bill.

One of the members commented that the draft bill would make changes to the service conditions of the existing employees, which is a matter of concern.

Dr. D. P. Mandal asked the President and the Officiating Director as to how they had opposed the strong criticism of the Institute expressed by the term "major surgery" during the July 05, 2025 meeting. The President said that it did not matter whether it was major or minor, the main issue is the "change", and the response to this had already been expressed earlier. The Officiating Director did not offer a response. Being unhappy, Dr. Mandal asked that his dissatisfaction that neither of the two comprehensively opposed the criticism of the Institute in the said brainstorming meeting be recorded.

At this point, some members, including Prof. Ashis Sengupta, appealed to the others to speak out if anybody was in favour of the newly proposed bill. Nobody came forward. Dr. D. P. Mandal then categorically asked the members to raise hands if anyone present

in the house was against the "Rejection" of the draft bill. No one in the house raised hands. The General Body then decided to reject the proposed ISI Bill 2025. The Officiating Director reminded that in view of her other responsibilities, she would express no personal opinion, and this should be recorded. One of the members expressed his discomfort at the use of the word "Reject", and suggested that the phrase "oppose in its present form" should be used. However, a few of the members strongly opposed this.

Finally, based on the discussion in the house, the President read out a suggested resolution. While the Officiating Director expressed no opinion on this matter, nobody objected to the suggested resolution and it was accepted by the house after some minor changes. The approved version of the resolution is as follows:

- 4.2(R) The Indian Statistical Institute (ISI) has continued to excel since its inception in 1931 in academic performance at a global standard as evidenced through the world-wide presence of its alumni, quantity and quality of the research publications, awards and honours received by its students, faculty members and alumni, and its contribution to various government and industry projects. The Institute has also maintained its administrative accountability by submitting itself to scrutiny of various statutory bodies and committees, and by implementing the evolving statutory requirements. The Fourth Review Committee, formed under Section 9 of the Indian Statistical Institute Act 1959, in its report in 2021, has recommended only two amendments to the ISI Act, 1959 and did not recommend repealing of the Act. Thus, the General Body does not find any material and cogent reason to repeal and replace the ISI Act, 1959.**

The General Body of Indian Statistical Institute rejects the draft ISI Bill 2025, which was brought in without any consultation with the Society or the Governing Council of the Institute, and which is not consistent with the Indian Statistical Institute Act 1959, the Memorandum of Association and the Regulations of the Institute, and which is harmful and detrimental to the object of the Institute, and which compromises the property, viz., movable, immovable and intellectual, and autonomy in governance and academia. The General Body also urges the Central Government to engage in dialogue and consultation with the society in a transparent manner before any amendment to its existing 1959 Act.

Agenda Item No. 5 To consider the Annual Report of the Institute for the year 2024 - 2025

5.1 The Chief Executive (Admn. & Finance) informed the members that the Annual Report of the Institute for the year 2024 to 2025 was circulated among the Council members, vide email dated 11th November, 2025, and after obtaining the approval of the Council, it was placed in the Annual General Meeting for consideration of the General Body. The Annual Report was circulated through email to all the members. Majority of the Council members have approved the Annual Report 2024-25. Further, it was also advised that, henceforth, the draft report be circulated well in advance to the general body members.

The Chief Executive (Admin. & Finance) also mentioned that in the previous two years, the information pertaining to the Annual Report had been received by the ISI administration beyond the stipulated deadline, which led to delays in the submission of the Annual Report to the Ministry – which is not a good practice.

The General Body members praised the efforts of the Annual Report Committee.


5.2 (R) **It was resolved by the General Body that the Annual Report of the Institute for the year 2024–2025, as approved by the Council members, be accepted and the same be approved for submission before the Parliament through the Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation, Government of India, as well as to the Registrar of Firms, Societies and Non-Trading Corporation, West Bengal.**


Agenda Item No. 6 **To consider the Audited Statement of Accounts of the Institute for the year 2024-25 together with Auditor's Report.**

6.1 The Chief Executive (Admn. & Finance) informed the members that the Audited Statement of Accounts of the Institute for the year 2024-25 together with Auditor's Report was placed before the Council through online mode vide email to all the members on 11th November 2025 after obtaining recommendation of the Finance Committee dated 4th November 2025. Majority of the Council members have approved the Audited Statement of Accounts of the Institute for the year 2024-25 together with Auditor's Report.

6.2 (R) **It was resolved by the General Body that the Audited Statement of Accounts of the Institute for the year 2024–25 together with the Auditor's Report, as recommended by the Finance Committee and subsequently by the Council, be accepted and the same be approved for submission before the Parliament through the Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation, Government of India, as well as to the Registrar of Firms, Societies and Non-Trading Corporation, West Bengal.**

There being no further issues for discussion, the President thanked all the Members and the meeting ended with thanks to the Chair.


Sanghamitra Bandyopadhyay
(Officiating Director)


Sankar Kr. Pal
(President)

| Serial No. | Name of the Members | Membership No. |
|------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Dr. Sudip Jana | L/8495 |
| 2 | Dr. Prasanta Sinha | L/9179 |
| 3 | Dr. Tapas Kumar Basu | L/7560 |
| 4 | Dr. Malay Kr. Kundu | L/5737 |
| 5 | Shri Rabindra Narayan Das | L/9245 |
| 6 | Shri Kalyan Kumar Chowdhury | L/5107 |
| 7 | Dr. Atis Kumar Dasgupta | L/5649 |
| 8 | Shri Pranay Ch. Ghosh | L/7653 |
| 9 | Shri Kamalaksha Das | L/6019 |
| 10 | Dr. Debdulal Dutta Roy | L/7715 |
| 11 | Dr. Kazi Kabir Hossain | O/9304 |
| 12 | Dr. Jiban Krishna Pal | L/8549 |
| 13 | Shri Samar Chattopadhyay | L/8655 |
| 14 | Dr. Monoranjan Ghose | L/9322 |
| 15 | Prof. Pradipta Maji | O/9602 |
| 16 | Dr. Partha De | L/5751 |
| 17 | Dr. Ashis Sengupta | L/5805 |
| 18 | Dr. Santanu Datta | L/7552 |
| 19 | Prof. Nikhil Ranjan Pal | L/7723 |
| 20 | Prof. Tarun Kabiraj | L/3073 |
| 21 | Dr. Sujit Adhikary | L/7983 |
| 22 | Dr. Arijit Chakrabarty | O/9547 |
| 23 | Prof. Rajat Kr. De | L/5864 |
| 24 | Shri Amites Dasgupta | L/8304 |
| 25 | Shri Asoke Kr. Chandra | L/1589 |
| 26 | Dr. Premananda Bharati | L/6088 |
| 27 | Dr. Susmita Bharati | L/6065 |
| 28 | Dr. Pranati Datta | L/7182 |
| 29 | Smt. Himani Bhattacharya | L/7477 |
| 30 | Shri Debashis Datta | L/8547 |
| 31 | Dr. Shashi Mohan Srivastava | L/9468 |
| 32 | Prof. Amartya Kumar Dutta | O/9571 |
| 33 | Prof. Dipti pRasad Mukherjee | L/5335 |
| 34 | Prof. Manoranjan Pal | L/5660 |
| 35 | Dr. Amita Pal | O/9579 |
| 36 | Shri Sukumar Das | L/5897 |
| 37 | Shri Asis Kumar Sarkar | L/1463 |
| 38 | Dr. Raj Kumar Roychoudhury | L/7028 |
| 39 | Dr. Diptendu Chatterjee | L/8640 |
| 40 | Prof. Ayanendranath Basu | L/7821 |
| 41 | Dr. Deba Prasad Mondal | L/6049 |
| 42 | Dr. Swagato Kumar Ray | O/9549 |
| 43 | Dr. Krishanu Maulik | O/9548 |
| 44 | Dr. Asit Kumar Ghosh | L/7860 |
| 45 | Shri Sauris Chandra De | L/5252 |
| 46 | Dr. Arup Kumar Das | L/9554 |
| 47 | Dr. Sandip Mitra | L/5817 |
| 48 | Dr. Rana Barua | L/9514 |
| 49 | Smt, Roma Chowdhury Sahu | L/9536 |
| 50 | Dr. Banasri Basu | O/9618 |

| Serial No. | Name of the Members | Membership No. |
|------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| 51 | Smt. Kasturi Basu | O/9561 |
| 52 | Dr. Tapan Kumar Mandal | L/9473 |
| 53 | Dr. Shankar Dihidar | L/7050 |
| 54 | Shri Subrata Kr. Debnath | L/9076 |
| 55 | Dr. Nibedita Ganguly | O/9455 |
| 56 | Dr. Ratan Dasgupta | L/8291 |
| 57 | Dr. Birendra Nath Mandal | L/4055 |
| 58 | Dr. Sanjoy Kar | L/9518 |
| 59 | Prof. Mrinal Kanti Das | O/9543 |
| 60 | Prof. Rudra Pada Sarkar | O/9451 |
| 61 | Prof. Mahuya Datta | O/9542 |
| 62 | Shri Arindam Sengupta | L/8043 |
| 63 | Prof. Arnab Kumar Laha | L/5898 |
| 64 | Prof. Bhabatosh Chanda | L/5964 |
| 65 | Shri Prasanta Kumar Pramanik | L/5129 |
| 66 | Dr. Bulusu Uma Shankar | L/5839 |
| 67 | Dr. Tirthankar Ghosh | L/6020 |
| 68 | Dr. T.S. Vasulu | L/7969 |
| 69 | Shri Arnab Chakraborty | L/8747 |
| 70 | Prof. Gopal Krishna Basak | L/9540 |